

PAPERS

PUBLISHED IN THE

PROCEEDINGS OF THE LONDON MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

A SERIES INVERSION FORMULA.

By E. C. TITCHMARSH.

[Received 8 November, 1925.—Read 12 November, 1925.]

1. *Introduction.* Suppose that the functions $f(x)$ and $e^{i\lambda(x-\pi)}f(x)$, where λ is not an integer, are expansible in Fourier series in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}, \quad e^{i\lambda(x-\pi)}f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n e^{-inx},$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n e^{-i(n+\lambda)x+i\lambda\pi}.$$

If we multiply by $e^{i(n+\lambda)x}$ and integrate term by term from 0 to 2π , we obtain

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{m+n+\lambda} = b_m.$$

Similarly, if we multiply by e^{-inx} and integrate, we obtain the reciprocal formula

$$(1.2) \quad a_m = \frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{m+n+\lambda}.$$

This can be verified directly by substituting (1.1) in (1.2), changing the order of summation, and using the formula

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+r+\lambda)(n+s+\lambda)} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2 \pi\lambda} \quad (r=s), \quad 0 \quad (r \neq s).$$

In either case the work is so far purely formal, and the Fourier method could not be justified without introducing unnecessary restrictions. We have, for example,

$$a_n = e^{iny}, \quad b_n = e^{-i(n+\lambda)y+i\lambda\pi} \quad (0 < y < 2\pi),$$

and, in particular, if $a_n = (-1)^n$, then $b_n = (-1)^n$. Such a case would not be included in the Fourier theory.

It will, therefore, be assumed simply that the numbers a_n are given, and that the numbers b_n are defined by (1.1), and the object is to discover in what circumstances and in what sense the formula (1.2) holds. We shall consider the case $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, other cases being practically the same. In a recent paper* it was shown that, if $\sum |a_n|^p$, where $p > 1$, is convergent, then the formulae hold, and $\sum |b_n|^p$ is also convergent. But this condition is by no means necessary.

The series considered, of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n,$$

are convergent in the ordinary sense if the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{-n}$$

are both convergent, and they are summable $(C, 1)$ in the ordinary sense if these two series are both summable $(C, 1)$. The series (1.3) will be said to be convergent in the restricted sense if the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n + u_{-n})$$

is convergent, and to be summable $(C, 1)$ in the restricted sense if this series is summable $(C, 1)$.

It is assumed throughout that the series (1.1) are convergent in the ordinary sense. To show the inadequacy of restricted convergence, let $a_n = 1$ for all values of n ($\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$). Then the series (1.1) converge in the restricted sense to the sum zero, and (1.2) is not satisfied.

E. C. Titchmarsh, *Math. Zeitschrift*, 25 (1926), 321-347. See also M. Riesz, *Math. Annalen* (to appear shortly).

The results are as follows :—

THEOREM I. *The series (1. 2) are summable (C, 1) in the restricted sense to the sums stated.*

The special interest of the theorem is that it shows that, if the series (1. 2) converge at all, then they have the sums stated. The remaining theorems may be expressed in terms of the numbers

$$R_n = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_m + a_{-m}}{m}, \quad R'_n = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_m - a_{-m}}{m},$$

which may be any two sequences tending to zero.

THEOREM II. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of (1. 2) in the restricted sense is*

$$(1. 4) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(1-\theta)^q}^{(1+\theta)^q} \frac{R_n}{n - q - \frac{1}{2}} = 0 \quad (0 < \theta < 1).$$

THEOREM III. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the summability (C, 1) in the ordinary sense of (1. 2) is the convergence of the series*

$$(1. 5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R'_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

THEOREM IV. *The necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence in the ordinary sense are the conditions of Theorems II and III, together with*

$$(1. 6) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(1-\theta)^q}^{(1+\theta)^q} \frac{R'_n}{n - q - \frac{1}{2}} = 0.$$

2. LEMMA. *The series*

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b_m}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{b_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

converges in the ordinary sense to the sum $a_n - a_0$.

The series is

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_r}{m+r+\frac{1}{2}},$$

and we have merely to prove that the order of the summation may be inverted. It will be sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} = \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty},$$

where $s > n, s > 0$, since the remaining part can be dealt with similarly; and for this it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-p} = 0, \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \sum_{m=q}^{\infty} = 0.$$

Now

$$\left(\frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{m+r+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{n}{r} \frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{m+r+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

and obviously

$$\sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \frac{a_r}{r} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-p} \frac{1}{(m+n+\frac{1}{2})(m+\frac{1}{2})}, \quad \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \frac{a_r}{r} \sum_{m=q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n+\frac{1}{2})(m+\frac{1}{2})}$$

tend to zero. Also

$$\sum_{m=q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n+\frac{1}{2})(m+r+\frac{1}{2})}$$

is a steadily decreasing function of r , its maximum tending to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by partial summation,

$$\sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \frac{a_r}{r} \sum_{m=q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n+\frac{1}{2})(m+r+\frac{1}{2})} \rightarrow 0.$$

A similar partial summation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \frac{a_r}{r} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-p} \frac{1}{(m+n+\frac{1}{2})(m+r+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \frac{R_r''}{(r-n)(r-n+1)} \sum_{m=n-p+1}^{r-p} \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \frac{R_r''}{(r-n+1)(r-p+\frac{3}{2})} \\ & \quad - \frac{R_{s-1}''}{s-n} \sum_{m=n-p+1}^{s-p} \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_n'' = \frac{1}{2}(R_n + R_n')$. The last term is $O(1/p)$, the middle term is

$$O \left\{ \int_s^{p-1} \frac{du}{u(p-u)} + \int_{p+1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u(u-p)} \right\} = O \left(\frac{\log p}{p} \right),$$

and since

$$\left| \sum_{m=n-p+1}^{r-p} \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right| < \frac{K}{\sqrt{p}} \quad (r < \sqrt{p}), \quad < K \log rp \quad (r \geq \sqrt{p}),$$

the first term is

$$\sum_{r < \sqrt{p}} o \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right) + \sum_{r \geq \sqrt{p}} O \left(\frac{\log r + \log p}{r^2} \right) = o(1).$$

This proves the lemma.

3. *Convergence in the restricted sense.* In view of the lemma it is sufficient to consider the series

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-q-1}^q \frac{b_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=-q-1}^q \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \sum_{m=-q-1}^q \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})(m+n+\frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $n \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-q-1}^q \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})(m+n+\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-q-1}^q \left(\frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=n-q-1}^{n+q} \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= -u_{n,q}/n, \end{aligned}$$

say, while, if $n = 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=-q-1}^q \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^2} = \pi^2 + O \left(\frac{1}{q} \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-q-1}^q \frac{b_n}{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \pi a_0 - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} u_{n,q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \\ &= \pi a_0 - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n + a_{-n}}{n} u_{n,q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $u_{n,q} = -u_{-n,q}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n + a_{-n}}{n} u_{n,q} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n (u_{n,q} - u_{n-1,q}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k + \sum_{n=k+1}^{(1-\theta)q} + \sum_{n=(1-\theta)q}^{(1+\theta)q} + \sum_{n=(1+\theta)q}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = [\sqrt{q}]$, and $0 < \theta < 1$.* Now $|R_n| \leq R$ (all n), $|R_n| < \epsilon$ ($n > \sqrt{q_0}$); also $u_{n,q}$ increases steadily for $n < q$, and then decreases steadily to zero, while

$$u_{n,q} < \frac{2n}{q-n+\frac{3}{2}} \quad (n < q); \quad u_{n,q} < \frac{2q+2}{n-q-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (n > q).$$

Hence, for $q > q_1$,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^k \right| < R \frac{2\sqrt{q}}{q-\sqrt{q}+\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \left| \sum_{k+1}^{(1-\theta)q} \right| < \epsilon \frac{2(1-\theta)q}{\theta q + \frac{3}{2}}, \quad \left| \sum_{(1+\theta)q}^{\infty} \right| < \epsilon \frac{2q+2}{\theta q - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n + a_{-n}}{n} u_{n,q} &= \sum_{(1-\theta)q}^{(1+\theta)q} R_n (u_{n,q} - u_{n+1,q}) + o(1) \\ &= \sum_{(1-\theta)q}^{(1+\theta)q} R_n \left(\frac{1}{n+q+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{n-q-\frac{3}{2}} \right) + o(1) \\ &= - \sum_{(1-\theta)q}^{(1+\theta)q} \frac{R_n}{n-q-\frac{1}{2}} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves Theorem II.

* I write $\sum_{n=a}^b = \sum_{a \leq n \leq b}$, whether a and b are integers or not. To avoid trivial difficulties with the limits, let θ be irrational.

4. *Summability in the restricted sense.* In view of what has been proved already, Theorem I is true if

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=(1-\theta)q}^{(1+\theta)q} \frac{R_n}{n-q-\frac{1}{2}} = o(Q).$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{n=1}^{(1-\theta)Q} R_n \sum_{q=n/(1+\theta)}^{n(1-\theta)} \frac{1}{q-n+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{n=(1-\theta)Q}^{(1+\theta)Q} R_n \sum_{q=n/(1+\theta)}^Q \frac{1}{q-n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = - \sum_{n=1}^{(1-\theta)Q} R_n \sum_{p=-\theta n/(1+\theta)}^{\theta n/(1-\theta)} \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{n=(1-\theta)Q}^{(1+\theta)Q} R_n \sum_{p=-\theta n/(1+\theta)}^{Q-n} \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = - \sum_{n=1}^{(1-\theta)Q} R_n \sum_{\theta n/(1+\theta)-1}^{\theta n/(1-\theta)} \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{n=(1-\theta)Q}^{(1+\theta)(Q+1)/(1+2\theta)} R_n \sum_{\theta n/(1+\theta)-1}^{Q-n} \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{(1+\theta)(Q+2)/(1+2\theta)}^Q R_n \sum_{Q-n+1}^{\theta n/(1+\theta)-1} \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n=Q+1}^{(1+\theta)Q} R_n \sum_{n-Q-1}^{\theta n/(1+\theta)-1} \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Q > Q_0(\epsilon)$, the first two terms give

$$\sum_{n \leq \sqrt{Q}} O(1) + \epsilon \sum_{n > \sqrt{Q}}^{(1-\theta)Q} O(1) + \epsilon \sum_{(1-\theta)Q}^{(1+\theta)(Q+1)/(1+2\theta)} O\left(\frac{Q}{n}\right) = O(\sqrt{Q}) + \epsilon O(Q).$$

Also replacing R_n by ϵ in the last two terms is equivalent to replacing R_n by ϵ in (4.1), with error $O(\sqrt{Q}) + \epsilon O(Q)$, as has just been proved; and

$$\epsilon \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=(1-\theta)q}^{(1+\theta)q} \frac{1}{n-q-\frac{1}{2}} = \epsilon \sum_{q=1}^Q O(1) = \epsilon O(Q).$$

This proves Theorem I.

5. *Summability in the ordinary sense.* Suppose that the series (3.1) is summable $(C, 1)$ in the ordinary sense. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{m=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{q}\right) \frac{b_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} = A + o(1).$$

Let
$$b'_m = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad b''_m = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{a_n}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then
$$\sum_{m=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{q}\right) \frac{b'_m + b''_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} = A' + o(1).$$

But, by Theorem I,

$$\sum_{m=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{q}\right) \frac{b_m'' - b_{-m-1}''}{m + \frac{1}{2}} = o(1).$$

Hence
$$\sum_{m=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{q}\right) \frac{b_m' + b_{-m-1}''}{m + \frac{1}{2}} = A' + o(1).$$

Now
$$b_m' + b_{-m-1}'' = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n - a_{-n}}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} = o(1).$$

Hence
$$\sum_{m=1}^q \frac{m-1}{q} \frac{b_m' + b_{-m-1}''}{m + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=1}^q o(1) = o(1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi A' + o(1) &= \pi \sum_{m=1}^q \frac{b_m' + b_{-m-1}''}{m + \frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^q \frac{1}{m + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n - a_{-n}}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) (R'_n - R'_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^q \left(\frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{n+q+\frac{1}{2}} \right) R'_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{R'_n}{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{R'_n}{n+q+\frac{1}{2}} + q \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{R'_n}{(n+\frac{1}{2})(n+q+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{R'_n}{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^q o\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + q \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^q \frac{R'_n}{n+\frac{1}{2}} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the condition stated is necessary. Conversely, if the condition is satisfied, we find, on reversing the above steps, that (5.1) holds. But, by Theorem I,

$$\sum_{m=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{q}\right) \frac{b_m - b_{-m-1}}{m + \frac{1}{2}}$$

tends to a limit. Hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{q}\right) \frac{b_{-m-1}}{m+1}$$

also tends to a limit. Hence the series is summable $(C, 1)$ in the ordinary sense.

6. *Convergence in the ordinary sense.* If the series (3.1) is convergent in the ordinary sense, then

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{m=0}^q \frac{b'_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{m=0}^q \frac{b''_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{m=-q-1}^{-1} \frac{b'_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{m=-q-1}^{-1} \frac{b''_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$$

both tend to limits when $q \rightarrow \infty$. Also it follows from the working of § 5 that the existence of the limit of

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{m=0}^q \frac{b'_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{m=-q-1}^{-1} \frac{b''_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a necessary condition. Adding (6.2) and (6.3), we see that the restricted convergence of

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b'_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a necessary condition, and similarly for b''_m . Applying Theorem II to each of these series, we obtain the conditions (1.5) and (1.6); and, as we have seen, the existence of the limit of (6.3) is equivalent to the convergence of (1.5). Thus the conditions are necessary, and it is easily seen that they are also sufficient.

It may be noted that a simple sufficient condition for convergence in the ordinary sense is the convergence in the ordinary sense of

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m+\frac{1}{2}} \log |m+\frac{1}{2}|.$$

But the condition is not necessary; e.g. let

$$a_n = (-1)^n n (\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (n > 1), \quad a_n = 0 \quad (n < 1).$$

7. *Example.* Let

$$a_m = \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k}},$$

where k is a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi b_n &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k}(m+n+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k-1}} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{1}{(m+n+\frac{1}{2})} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k}} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k-1}(m+n+\frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

This process may be repeated until we arrive at the series

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})(m+n+\frac{1}{2})},$$

which is zero if $n \neq 0$. Hence, if $n \neq 0$,

$$\pi b_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k}} - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^{2k-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^{2k-1}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^2}.$$

Now, if r is even,

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^r} = 2(2^r - 1) \zeta(r),$$

while the sum is zero if r is odd. Hence, if $n \neq 0$,

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^k \frac{(2^{2p} - 1) \zeta(2p)}{n^{2k-2p+1}}.$$

Also, clearly $b_0 = 0$. Hence the relation

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n^2$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\frac{1}{2})^{4k}} &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^k \frac{(2^{2p} - 1) \zeta(2p)}{n^{2k-2p+1}} \right\}^2 \\ &= \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{q=1}^k \frac{(2^{2p} - 1)(2^{2q} - 1) \zeta(2p) \zeta(2q)}{n^{4k-2p-2q+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is to say

$$\begin{aligned} (8.51) \quad &(2^{4k} - 1) \zeta(4k) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{q=1}^k (2^{2p} - 1)(2^{2q} - 1) \zeta(2p) \zeta(2q) \zeta(4k - 2p - 2q + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if we take

$$a_n = \frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{2})^{2k+1}}$$

we obtain

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} (2^{2k+2} - 1) \zeta(2k+2), \quad b_n = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^k \frac{(2^{2p} - 1) \zeta(2p)}{n^{2k-2p+2}} \quad (n \neq 0).$$

This leads to the relation

$$(8.52) \quad (2^{4k+2} - 1) \zeta(4k+2) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} (2^{2k+2} - 1)^2 \zeta^2(2k+2) \\ + \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{q=1}^k (2^{2p} - 1)(2^{2q} - 1) \zeta(2p) \zeta(2q) \zeta(4k - 2p - 2q + 4).$$

We have thus proved quite independently of the circular functions that the numbers $\zeta(2n)$ are all rational multiples of integral powers of $\zeta(2)$ [since the constant π^2 enters the above formulae as the value of $6\zeta(2)$].