

## On a question of Brézis and Nirenberg concerning the degree of circle maps

Jacob Korevaar

**Abstract.** One considers maps  $F$  of the unit circle into itself. For maps of class  $C^1$  or in the Sobolev class  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , the degree or winding number is equal to the sum of the series  $\sum n|c_n|^2$  in terms of the Fourier coefficients  $c_n$  of  $F(e^{it}) = f(t)$ . Here one studies the possible relation between this series (in symmetric arrangement) and the degree for arbitrary continuous maps  $F$ . It is shown that for such maps, the series may fail to be convergent or Abel summable. If the series does converge, the sum may have any value different from the degree of  $F$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification (1991).** Primary 47H11; Secondary 42A99, 31A10.

**Key words.** Circle maps, degree theory, index, summability, winding number.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F$  be any measurable map of the positively oriented unit circle  $S^1$  into itself. We will work with the periodic unimodular *defining function*,  $F(e^{it}) = f(t)$ . It gives the Fourier series associated with the map

$$F(e^{it}) = f(t) \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{int}, \quad c_n = c_n[f] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt. \quad (1.1)$$

The condition  $|f(t)| \equiv 1$  has an equivalent formulation in terms of the Fourier coefficients

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \bar{c}_{n-k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \overline{f(t)} e^{-ikt} dt = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Following a question of Gelfand [5], H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg discovered that for circle maps  $F$  of class  $C^1$ , the degree, index or winding number can be expressed

in terms of the Fourier coefficients as follows, cf. [4]:

$$\deg F = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Delta \log F|_{S^1} \quad (1.3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} \frac{1}{F} dF = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} \bar{F} dF \quad (1.4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(t)} f'(t) dt = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} n |c_n|^2. \quad (1.5)$$

A degree can also be defined for more general maps  $F : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ . The continuous case is classical, cf. (1.3). Recent extensions have been motivated by the Ginzburg-Landau equations, see L. Boutet de Monvel and O. Gabber in [1, Appendix] and H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg [2], [3]. For the case of  $S^1$ , these authors considered maps in the Sobolev class  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , using duality in (1.4), and in the larger class VMO, using approximation by continuous functions. In their papers, Brézis and Nirenberg made a thorough study of much more general maps of class VMO, also for higher dimensions, cf. the surveys in Brézis [4] and Nirenberg [9].

For circle maps  $F$ , Brézis and Nirenberg [2], [4] have asked if one could give a meaning to the formula

$$\deg F = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} n |c_n|^2 \quad (1.6)$$

in all cases where  $\deg F$  is well-defined. Their answer was yes if  $F$  is in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1, S^1)$ , a condition which is equivalent to the absolute convergence of the series in (1.6). Since such an  $F$  can be written as  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -limit of functions in  $C^1(S^1, S^1)$  it follows that  $\deg F$  as defined by duality in (1.4) is an integer (also observed in [1, Appendix]) and that (1.6) is satisfied. Thus Brézis and Nirenberg obtained the surprising result that the orthogonality relations (1.2) for a sequence  $\{c_n\}$  in  $l^2$ , together with the absolute convergence of the series  $\sum n |c_n|^2$ , imply that *the sum of the series is an integer!* It would be interesting to have a direct proof of this fact.

**Remarks 1.1.** Without the absolute convergence of (1.6), the relations (1.2) do not imply that the symmetric sum  $S = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-N}^N n |c_n|^2$  is an integer whenever it exists. In fact, as observed by Jan Wiegerinck [10], for the discontinuous circle map defined by  $g(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}it}$  on  $[0, 2\pi)$ , one has  $2\pi i c_n [g] = 4/(2n - 1)$ , so that

$$S = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum \frac{n}{(2n - 1)^2} = \frac{1}{2}!$$

For the more general map defined by  $g(t) = e^{i(\alpha/2\pi)t}$  on  $[0, 2\pi)$ , one finds that

$$S = \frac{\sin^2 \alpha/2}{\pi^2} \sum \frac{n}{(n - \alpha/2\pi)^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\alpha - \sin \alpha). \quad (1.7)$$

This result has an interesting geometric meaning, cf. Remark 4.1 below.

We do not use degrees or indices for discontinuous maps, as one does for example in the theory of integral equations, cf. the books by I.C. Gohberg and coauthors [6], [7], [8].

The author wishes to thank Jan Wierginck for valuable suggestions.

## 2. The case of continuous maps

In this note we address the following question.

**Question 2.1** (cf. Brézis [4]). Let  $F : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  be continuous,  $F(e^{it}) = f(t)$  and define

$$A_N = A_N[f] = \sum_{-N}^N n|c_n|^2, \quad A_r = A_r[f] = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} n|c_n|^2 r^{|n|}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $c_n = c_n[f]$  and  $0 \leq r < 1$ . Can one then compute  $\deg F$  as

$$S = S[f] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_N \quad \text{or as} \quad A = A[f] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} A_r? \quad (2.2)$$

If the sum  $S[f]$  exists, then so will the Abel sum  $A[f]$ , and the two will be the same. We can therefore use the notation  $A[f]$  also for  $S[f]$ .

**Observation 2.2.** There is one simple case where the answer to Question 2.1 is yes. If  $f$  is (continuous and) *of bounded variation*, the Fourier series (1.1) is uniformly convergent to  $f$  while  $df$  is a complex measure; hence by (1.3), (1.4), integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg F &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(t)} df(t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{-N}^N \bar{c}_n e^{-int} df(t) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-N}^N n|c_n|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

However, in general the answer to Question 2.1 is no.

**Theorem 2.3.** *For continuous maps  $F : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  of degree zero (or any other degree), the limits (2.2) for  $f(t) = F(e^{it})$  may fail to exist, or they may have any value different from the degree, including  $\pm\infty$ .*

We know that for discontinuous maps the limit  $S$  can have any nonintegral value, cf. (1.7). The proof of the theorem uses the fact that for our problem, certain continuous maps can be made to behave like discontinuous ones.

In the following, it is best to *think of  $S$  or  $A$ ,  $A_r$  and  $A_N$  as areas*. It is well-known that for smooth  $F$  on the positively oriented unit circle  $S^1$ , the signed area enclosed by the image curve  $\Gamma = F(S^1)$  in the complex plane is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\Gamma} \bar{z} dz = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{S^1} \bar{F} dF = \pi \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} n |c_n|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

For integrable  $F(e^{it}) = f(t)$ , let  $f_r$ , with  $0 \leq r < 1$ , be the *Poisson transform*

$$f_r(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(s) P_r(t-s) ds, \quad P_r(x) = \frac{1-r^2}{2\pi(1-2r \cos x + r^2)}. \quad (2.5a)$$

Similarly, the symmetric partial sum  $f_N = s_N f$  of the Fourier series for  $f$  is given by its *Dirichlet transform*

$$f_N(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(s) D_N(t-s) ds, \quad D_N(x) = \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})x}{2\pi \sin \frac{1}{2}x}. \quad (2.5b)$$

In the following, the transforms of  $f$  will be treated together under the name  $f_\rho$ , where  $\rho = r$  or  $N$ . The corresponding kernels will both be denoted by  $Q_\rho$ . The proof of the theorem will show that one can also use other transforms.

If  $F$  is continuous,  $\pi A_r[f]$  is equal to the signed area enclosed by the curve given by  $f_{\sqrt{r}}$ , so that we get the following useful representation for  $A_r$  of (2.1):

$$A_r[f] = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} n |c_n|^2 r^{|n|} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f}_{\sqrt{r}} f'_{\sqrt{r}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f} f'_r. \quad (2.6a)$$

The analog for  $A_N[f]$  is

$$A_N[f] = A[f_N] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f} f'_N, \quad \text{where } f_N = s_N f. \quad (2.6b)$$

We will use these formulas to define  $A_\rho$  with  $\rho = r$  or  $N$  also for discontinuous maps.

### 3. A basic proposition

In this section we carefully discuss  $f_\rho$  and  $A_\rho$  for a special kind of defining function  $f$ . The proof of Theorem 2.3 will be based on infinite products of closely related functions.

For given  $\alpha \in (0, \pi]$  and positive numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a + b \leq 2\pi$ , set

$$\frac{\alpha}{a} = v, \quad \frac{\alpha}{b} = w, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}(\alpha - \sin \alpha) = \beta. \tag{3.1}$$

We now define

$$f = e^{i\phi}, \tag{3.2}$$

with continuous real periodic  $\phi$  given by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} vt & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a, \\ -w(t - a - b) & \text{for } a \leq t \leq a + b, \\ 0 & \text{for } a + b \leq t \leq 2\pi. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Applying integration by parts in (2.6) and using the periodicity of  $\bar{f}f_\rho$ , one obtains the formula

$$2\pi A_\rho[f] = i \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f}' f_\rho = \int_0^a v e^{-ivt} f_\rho(t) dt - \int_a^{a+b} w e^{iw(t-a-b)} f_\rho(t) dt. \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $f_\rho$  converges uniformly to  $f$  as  $\rho = r \rightarrow 1$  or  $\rho = N \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$2\pi A_\rho[f] \rightarrow \int_0^a v \bar{f} f - \int_a^{a+b} w \bar{f} f = av - bw = 0; \quad 2\pi A[f] = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

At this stage, it is crucial to remark that for  $b \ll a$  (meaning that  $b/a$  is very small), the final integral in (3.4) will approach its limit  $\alpha$  much more slowly than the integral over  $(0, a)$ . Indeed, as will be shown below, for

$$b \ll \rho = 1 - r \ll a, \quad \text{or} \quad v \ll \rho = N \ll w, \tag{3.6}$$

the integral over  $(0, a)$  is close to  $\alpha$ , whereas the integral over  $(a, a + b)$  is close to  $\sin \alpha$ . For our  $\rho$ 's, the transforms  $f_\rho$  do not “see” that  $f$  changes continuously on the short arc corresponding to  $a \leq t \leq a + b$ . The transforms behave as if  $f$  has a jump discontinuity at  $a$ , changing abruptly from the value  $f(a) = e^{i\alpha}$  to the value  $f(a + b) = 1$ .

The discontinuous function obtained from  $f$  by letting  $b \rightarrow 0$  will be called  $g$ . Normalizing it, we have

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} e^{ivt} & \text{for } 0 \leq t < a, \\ 1 & \text{for } a < t \leq 2\pi, \\ \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\alpha} + 1) & \text{for } t = a. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f, g$  and  $\beta$  be as in (3.1)–(3.3) and (3.7).*

- (1) *For  $b \ll 1 - r$  or  $N \ll w$ , the transform  $f_\rho$  with  $\rho = r$  or  $N$  is close to  $g_\rho$  everywhere.*
- (2) *For  $1 - r \ll a$  or  $v \ll N$ , the function  $f_\rho$  is close to  $f$  outside the subinterval  $J = [a - K(1 - r), a + b + K(1 - r)]$  or  $[a - K/N, a + b + K/N]$  of  $[0, 2\pi]$  for every constant  $K$  such that  $1 \ll K \ll \{a/(1 - r)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  or  $1 \ll K \ll (N/v)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*
- (3) *Suppose now that  $b \ll a$  and  $b \ll 1 - r \ll a$  or  $v \ll N \ll w$ . Then for  $a \leq t \leq a + b$ , the values of  $f_\rho(t)$  and  $g_\rho(t)$  are close to  $g(a)$ . Furthermore,*

$$A_\rho[f] \text{ is close to } A[g] = \beta. \tag{3.8}$$

- (4) *For  $\max(a, b) \ll 1 - r$  or  $N \ll \min(v, w)$ , and for  $1 - r \ll \min(a, b)$  or  $\max(v, w) \ll N$ , the transforms  $f_\rho$  are everywhere close to 1, and close to  $f$ , respectively. In both cases,  $A_\rho[f]$  is close to 0.*

*All these uniform approximations can be made arbitrarily good by making the ratios  $p/q$  in the inequalities  $p \ll q$  sufficiently small.*

*There are corresponding results with  $a$  and  $b$  interchanged (or  $v$  and  $w$ ). In (3) one then has to use the comparison function  $h$  obtained from  $f$  by letting  $a \rightarrow 0$ ;  $A[h] = -\beta$ .*

*If  $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/2$ , then  $|A_\rho[f]| < \alpha^2$  for every  $\rho$ .*

#### 4. Proof of Proposition 3.1

As far as possible, the Poisson and Dirichlet transform of  $f$  will be treated together as  $f_\rho$ .

(i) *Comparison of  $f_\rho$  and  $g_\rho$ .* The values of  $f$  and  $g$  belong to the circular arc  $\Gamma_\alpha : \{z = e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \alpha\}$ , so that  $|f - g| \leq \alpha$ . Hence

$$|f_\rho(t) - g_\rho(t)| = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \{f(s) - g(s)\} Q_\rho(t - s) ds \right| = \left| \int_a^{a+b} \right| \tag{4.1}$$

$$< b\alpha/(1 - r), \quad \text{or} \quad < b\alpha N, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

These bounds are very small provided  $1 - r \gg b$ , or  $N \ll w$ .

One can also obtain bounds which are valid for arbitrary  $\rho = r$  or  $N$ . Since  $P_r > 0$ , the values of  $f_r$  and  $g_r$  belong to the convex hull of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ , that is, the closed segment  $\Delta_\alpha$  of the unit disc  $\Delta$  bounded by the arc and its chord. (For example, since  $\frac{1}{2}\pi \leq \arg(f - 1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\pi + \alpha)$  or  $\operatorname{Re} f \leq 1$  and  $\operatorname{Im} e^{-\frac{1}{2}i(\pi + \alpha)}(f - 1) \leq 0$ , the same inequalities hold for  $f_r$ , etc.) In particular  $|f_r - g_r| \leq \alpha$ .

In the case of the Dirichlet transform, one has to reckon with the Gibbs phenomenon:  $f - g$  jumps from 0 to  $e^{i\alpha} - 1$  at  $a$ . Separately considering the real and

imaginary part of  $f_N - g_N$ , one finds that  $|f_N - g_N - \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\alpha} - 1)| < 0.6\alpha$ , hence  $|f_N - g_N| < 1.1\alpha$  for all  $N$ . Likewise  $|g_N - \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\alpha} + 1)| < 0.6\alpha$ .

(ii) *Estimates for  $f - f_\rho$  and  $f_\rho$ .* It follows from part (i) that  $|f - f_r| < \alpha$  and  $|f_N - e^{i\alpha}| < 1.2\alpha$ , hence

$$|f(t) - f_\rho(t)| < 2.2\alpha \quad \text{for every } \rho \text{ and } t. \tag{4.2a}$$

For part (iv) and for later, we need rather precise estimates under the assumption that  $1 - r \ll a$  or  $N \gg v$ . We treat the Poisson transform in detail; working modulo  $2\pi$ , we take  $t$  outside an interval of the form  $J = [a - K(1 - r), a + b + K(1 - r)]$ . One has

$$|f(t) - f_r(t)| \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(t) - f(t+x)|P_r(x)dx = I_1 + I_2,$$

where in  $I_1$  we integrate over  $|x| \leq K(1 - r)$  and in  $I_2$  over  $|x| > K(1 - r)$ . In  $I_1$  we use the inequality  $P_r(x) < 1/(1 - r)$  and in  $I_2$ , taking  $r$  close to 1, we use  $P_r(x) < (1 - r)/x^2$ . Observe that in  $I_1$ , the points  $t$  and  $t + x$  lie on the same side of  $[a, a + b]$ , so that  $|f(t) - f(t + x)| \leq v|x| = (\alpha/a)|x|$ . Thus

$$|f(t) - f_r(t)| \leq |I_1| + |I_2| < \alpha K^2 \frac{1 - r}{a} + 2\alpha \frac{1}{K}, \quad t \notin J. \tag{4.2b}$$

This bound is small whenever  $1 \ll K \ll \{a/(1 - r)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Another calculation shows that  $|f(t) - f_N(t)|$  is small for  $t$  outside any interval  $[a - K/N, a + b + K/N]$  with  $1 \ll K \ll (N/v)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Here one has to integrate by parts in an integral  $I_2$  where  $|x| > K/N$ .

In this paragraph one assumes  $b \leq a$ . That  $f_\rho$  is then close to 1 for  $1 - r \gg a$  or  $N \ll v$  follows immediately from the integral formulas (2.5) applied to  $f - 1$ . For  $1 - r \ll b$  or  $N \gg w$  one may use the coefficient estimates

$$2\pi|nc_n| = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} df(t) \right| = \left| \int_0^a + \int_a^{a+b} \right| \leq av + bw = 2\alpha,$$

$$2\pi n^2|c_n| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |df'| = (2 + \alpha)(v + w) < 4\pi w.$$

They imply that  $f_\rho$  is close to  $f$  everywhere:

$$|f - f_N| \leq \sum_{|n|>N} |c_n| < 4w/N, \quad |f - f_r| < 12\sqrt{(1 - r)/b}. \tag{4.3}$$

(iii) *Estimates for  $g_\rho$ .* There are inequalities (4.2) also for  $g - g_\rho$ . Let us now assume  $b \ll 1 - r \ll a$  or  $v \ll N \ll w$ . Taking  $a \leq t \leq a + b$ , we show that *both  $g_\rho(t)$  and  $f_\rho(t)$  are close to*

$$g(a) = \{g(a-) + g(a+)\}/2 = (e^{i\alpha} + 1)/2.$$

Because of (4.1), it is enough to consider  $g_\rho(t)$  and we may actually limit ourselves to  $t = a$ . Indeed,  $g(t+x) - g(a+x) \neq 0$  only on the interval  $(-t, -t+a)$  of length  $a$  where one has a bound  $\leq v(t-a) \leq vb$  and on the interval  $[-t+a, 0]$  of length  $t-a \leq b$  where one has bound  $\alpha$ . The usual inequalities for the kernels  $Q_\rho$  then show that the integrals for  $g_\rho(t) - g_\rho(a)$  are uniformly small for our  $\rho$ 's.

Finally, by the symmetry of  $Q_\rho$ , assuming that  $a \leq \pi$  in order to obtain a simple formula, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g_\rho(a) - g(a) &= \int_0^\pi \{g(a+x) + g(a-x) - 2g(a)\}Q_\rho(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^a e^{iva}(e^{-ivx} - 1)Q_\rho(x)dx + \int_a^\pi (1 - e^{iva})Q_\rho(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

One may split the integral over  $(0, a)$  at  $x = 1 - r$  or  $1/N$  to show that  $g_\rho(a) - g(a)$  is small for  $1 - r \ll a$  or  $N \gg v$ , whichever applies.

(iv) *The values of  $A_\rho[f]$ .* We will compare the integrals in (3.4) and (3.5), assuming initially that  $b \ll 1 - r \ll a$  or  $v \ll N \ll w$ . It will be enough to act as if  $\rho = r$ . Splitting the integral over  $(0, a)$  and using (4.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^a ve^{-ivt}(f - f_r) \right| &= \left| \int_{(0,a) \setminus J} + \int_{(0,a) \cap J} \right| \\ &< av \left( \alpha K^2 \frac{1-r}{a} + 2\alpha \frac{1}{K} \right) + \{2K(1-r) + b\}v\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Taking  $K$  as before one concludes that  $\int_0^a ve^{-ivt}f_\rho$  is close to  $\int_0^a v\bar{f}f = av = \alpha$ .

We next consider the integral over  $(a, a+b)$  in (3.4). By part (iii) above,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^{a+b} we^{iw(t-a-b)}f_\rho &\approx \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\alpha} + 1) \int_a^{a+b} we^{iw(t-a-b)}dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\alpha} + 1)\frac{1}{i}(1 - e^{-i\alpha}) = \sin \alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Hence by (3.4), for our present values of  $\rho$ ,

$$A_\rho[f] \approx \frac{1}{2\pi}(\alpha - \sin \alpha) = \beta. \tag{4.6}$$

For  $1 - r \gg a \geq b$  or  $N \ll v \leq w$ ,  $f_\rho$  is close to 1, cf. part (ii), so that  $A_\rho[f]$  is close to 0. For  $1 - r \ll b \leq a$  or  $N \gg w \geq v$  it follows from (4.4) that  $A_\rho[f]$  is again close to 0.

We also have to know what happens for other values of  $r$  or  $N$ , where we may assume for later that  $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/2$ . Since the values of  $f_r$  belong to the disc segment  $\Delta_\alpha$ , the real parts of the last two integrals in (3.4) with  $\rho = r$  lie between  $\sin \alpha \cos \alpha$  and  $\sin \alpha (2 - \cos \alpha)$ . It follows that for every  $r$ ,

$$2\pi|A_r[f]| \leq 2 \sin \alpha (1 - \cos \alpha) < \alpha^3. \tag{4.7a}$$

In the case of  $f_N$  the inequality  $|f_N - e^{i\alpha}| < 1.2\alpha$  of part (ii) implies that for every  $N$ ,

$$2\pi|A_N[f]| < 5\alpha^2. \tag{4.7b}$$

(v) *The value of  $A[g]$ .* In the case of  $g$ , we treat the integral (2.6) for  $A_\rho$  as a Stieltjes integral, integrate by parts and finally let  $r \rightarrow 1$  or  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $g$  is a normalized function of bounded variation,  $g_\rho \rightarrow g$  pointwise and boundedly. One thus finds that  $\lim A_\rho[g] = A[g]$  exists, cf. also part (iii):

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi A_\rho[g] &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{g} dg_\rho = i \int_0^{2\pi} g_\rho d\bar{g} \\ &= i \int_0^{a-} g_\rho \bar{g}' dt + i \int_{a-}^{a+} g_\rho d\bar{g} = \int_0^a g_\rho v \bar{g} dt + i g_\rho(a) \{\bar{g}(a+) - \bar{g}(a-)\} \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^a g v \bar{g} dt + i g(a) \{\bar{g}(a+) - \bar{g}(a-)\} = av + i \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\alpha} + 1)(1 - e^{-i\alpha}) \\ &= \alpha - \sin \alpha = 2\pi A[g]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

One may derive from part (iii) that the difference between  $A[g]$  and  $A_\rho[g]$  is small when  $1 - r \ll a$  or  $N \gg v$ .

This concludes the proof of the proposition.

**Remark 4.1.** Observe that  $\pi A[g] = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \sin \alpha)$  is the area of the disc segment  $\Delta_\alpha$  bounded by the arc  $\Gamma_\alpha$  and its chord, cf. part (i). Thinking of  $\pi A[g]$  as the area bounded by a “closed curve”  $g([0, 2\pi])$ , one has to make the “vertical” transition of  $g$  from the value  $e^{i\alpha}$  to the value 1 at  $t = a$  correspond to the chord! However, this is perfectly reasonable if one considers the curve  $g_r([0, 2\pi])$ . Taking  $\alpha = \pi$  as in (1.7), one can compute  $g_r$  exactly and it is then seen that the curve  $g_r([0, 2\pi])$  approximates the boundary of  $\Delta_\alpha$ .

### 5. Towards the proof of Theorem 2.3

Our defining functions  $f$  are given by infinite products

$$f = f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots, \quad f_k = e^{i\phi_k}, \quad (5.1)$$

where the  $\phi_k$ 's are continuous real periodic functions like  $\phi$  in formula (3.3), but with constants indexed by  $k$ , and with shifts so that the peaks of different  $\phi_k$ 's do not overlap. The constants  $\alpha_k \in (0, \pi]$  are taken such that  $\alpha_k \downarrow 0$ , but

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k = \infty, \quad \text{where } \beta_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\alpha_k - \sin \alpha_k)/2\pi. \quad (5.2)$$

The positive sequences  $\{a_k\}$  and  $\{b_k\}$  must be nonincreasing and satisfy the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) \leq 2\pi$ ; we define

$$v_k = \alpha_k/a_k, \quad w_k = \alpha_k/b_k, \quad \sum_{j \leq k} (a_j + b_j) = \gamma_k, \quad \sum_{k > p} (a_k + b_k) = \delta_p. \quad (5.3)$$

Other conditions on the constants will be imposed later.

The peak of  $\phi_k(t)$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  is to start at  $t = \gamma_{k-1}$  (with  $\gamma_0 = 0$ ); it has width  $a_k + b_k$  and height  $\alpha_k$ . Thus

$$f_k(t) = \begin{cases} e^{iv_k t} & \text{for } \gamma_{k-1} \leq t \leq \gamma_{k-1} + a_k, \\ e^{-iw_k(t-\gamma_k)} & \text{for } \gamma_k - b_k \leq t \leq \gamma_k, \\ 1 & \text{on } [0, 2\pi] \text{ outside } (\gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k). \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

We also need the functions  $g_k$  and  $h_k$  obtained from  $f_k$  by letting  $b_k$  or  $a_k$  go to 0; at the points of discontinuity we use the average of the left-hand and right-hand limit.

Since  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ , our functions  $f$  will define continuous maps  $F$  of degree 0.

For the subsequent computations we observe that the results of Proposition 3.1 apply *mutatis mutandis* to the shifted functions  $f_k$ . We now derive some auxiliary results. One may expect that for our product maps, the “areas”  $A$  and  $A_p$  are in some sense equal to the sum of the areas of the factors. Let us set

$$F_p = f_1 \cdots f_p, \quad T_p = f_{p+1} \cdots, \quad G_p = g_1 \cdots g_p, \quad H_p = h_1 \cdots h_p. \quad (5.5)$$

For given  $r$ , the value of  $A_r[F_p]$  will depend on how much of the curve  $F_p([0, 2\pi])$  is “visible” from the circle  $S^1(0, r)$  of radius  $r$ .

**Proposition 5.1.**

(1) *With  $\beta_k$  as in (5.2),*

$$A[g_1 \cdots g_p] = \sum_{k=1}^p A[g_k] = \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k. \quad (5.6)$$

(2) For  $1 - r \ll a_p$  or  $v_p \ll N$ ,

$$A_\rho[F_p] = A_\rho[f_1 \cdots f_p] \approx \sum_{k=1}^p A_\rho[f_k]. \tag{5.7}$$

(3) Suppose for the time being that  $b_1 \ll a_p$ . Then for  $b_1 \ll 1 - r \ll a_p$  or  $v_p \ll N \ll w_1$ ,  $A_\rho[f_1 \cdots f_p]$  is close to  $A[g_1 \cdots g_p]$ . If moreover  $b_2 \ll b_1$ , then for  $b_2 \ll 1 - r \ll b_1$  or  $w_1 \ll N \ll w_2$ ,  $A_\rho[f_1 \cdots f_p]$  is close to  $A[g_2 \cdots g_p]$ . During the transition from  $1 - r \gg b_1$  to  $1 - r \ll b_1$  (or from  $N \ll w_1$  to  $N \gg w_1$ ),  $2\pi A_\rho[f_1]$  goes from about  $\alpha_1 - \sin \alpha_1$  to about 0. While this takes place,  $|A_\rho[f_1]|$  never exceeds  $\alpha_1^2$  (assuming that  $\alpha_1 \leq \pi/2$ ).

The role of  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's may be interchanged. If  $a_1 \ll b_p$ ,  $A_\rho[F_p]$  is close to  $A[H_p] = -\sum_1^p \beta_k$  for  $a_1 \ll 1 - r \ll b_p$  or  $w_p \ll N \ll v_1$ .

One can make "close" as close as one wishes by appropriate choice of the relative gaps indicated by the symbol  $\ll$ .

*Proof.* For definiteness we take  $\rho = r$ . Since  $g_1 \cdots g_p = \sum_{k=1}^p g_k - (p - 1)$ , one has  $(g_1 \cdots g_p)_r = g_{1r} + \cdots + g_{pr} - (p - 1)$  and similarly for products of  $f_k$ 's. Formula (5.6) for  $A[g_1 \cdots g_p]$  then follows by a computation similar to (4.8).

We turn to part (2). By (3.4), since  $f_k = 1$  outside  $I_k = (\gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k)$ ,

$$2\pi A_r[f_1 \cdots f_p] = i \sum_{k=1}^p \int_{I_k} \overline{f}'_k \{ (f_{1r} - 1) + \cdots + (f_{k-1,r} - 1) + f_{kr} + (f_{k+1,r} - 1) + \cdots + (f_{pr} - 1) \}. \tag{5.8}$$

Suppose now that  $1 - r \ll a_p$ . To establish (5.7) we show that the integrals

$$\int_{I_k} \overline{f}'_k (f_{qr} - 1) \quad \text{with } q \neq k \tag{5.9}$$

are small. We know that  $1 - r \ll a_q$ ; hence if also  $1 - r \ll b_q$ , then by Proposition 3.1(4),  $f_{qr}$  is close to  $f_q$  everywhere. Thus  $f_{qr}$  is close to 1 on  $I_k$  and the smallness of (5.9) follows. We may therefore assume that  $b_q \leq K(1 - r)$  for some constant  $K$ . Proposition 3.1(2) then implies that  $f_{qr}$  is close to  $f_q$  outside any interval  $J_q = [\gamma_q - M_q(1 - r), \gamma_q + M_q(1 - r)]$  with  $1 \ll M_q \ll \{a_q/(1 - r)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . If  $q > k$ , the exceptional interval starts to the right of  $I_k$ ; hence  $f_{qr}$  is close to  $f_q$  and thus again close to 1 on  $I_k$ . We finally take  $q < k$ ; it will suffice to consider  $q = k - 1$ . Then  $J_{k-1}$  overlaps  $I_k$ , but one may take  $M_{k-1} \ll \{a_k/(1 - r)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and then  $J_{k-1}$  lies to the left of  $\gamma_{k-1} + a_k$ . One thus finds that the contribution to (5.9) due to the overlap is bounded by

$$\int_{I_k \cap J_{k-1}} |\overline{f}'_k (f_{k-1,r} - 1)| \leq M_{k-1} (1 - r) v_k \alpha_{k-1}$$

and therefore small. The conclusion is that all the integrals (5.9) are small; hence the expression in (5.8) is indeed close to  $\sum_{k=1}^p A_r[f_k]$ .

Part (3). Suppose now that  $b_1 \ll a_p$ . Then for  $b_1 \ll 1 - r \ll a_p$ ,

$$2\pi A_r[f_k] \approx 2\pi A[g_k] = \alpha_k - \sin \alpha_k \tag{5.10}$$

for every  $k$ , cf. (3.8), so that  $A_r[f_1 \cdots f_p] \approx A[g_1 \cdots g_p]$ .

If  $b_2 \ll b_1 \ll a_p$  and one takes  $b_2 \ll 1 - r \ll b_1$ , then the approximation (5.10) remains valid for  $k \geq 2$ , but now  $A_r[f_1]$  is close to  $A[f_1] = 0$ , cf. Proposition 3.1(4). Thus  $A_r[F_p]$  is reduced by  $A[g_1] = \beta_1$  from its earlier value. The transition result for  $1 - r$  around  $b_1$  follows from (4.7).

The remaining statements in the proposition require no proof, cf. Proposition 3.1.

We finally need a comparison between  $A_\rho[f]$  and  $A_\rho[F_p]$ . Can one neglect the tail  $T_p$  in the product for  $f$  when  $\rho$  is in a certain range? When is the tail  $T_p([0, 2\pi])$  “nearly invisible” from  $S^1(0, r)$ ? Here one has to impose a “tail condition” on the numbers  $a_k, b_k$  with  $k > p$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *For given  $\rho$  and small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can ensure that*

$$|A_\rho[f] - A_\rho[F_p]| < \varepsilon \tag{5.11}$$

by requiring that the remainder  $\delta_p$  in (5.3) be small relative to  $(1 - r)/p$  or  $1/pN$ .

*Proof.* It is efficient to use Fourier series here. Observe that by (5.5),

$$f = F_p T_p = F_p + (T_p - 1). \tag{5.12}$$

For convenience, set

$$c_n[F_p] = d_n, \quad c_n[T_p - 1] = e_n, \quad c_n[f] = d_n + e_n = c_n. \tag{5.13}$$

The  $d_n$ 's may be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi |nd_n| &= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} F_p'(t) e^{-int} dt \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} (|\phi_1'| + \cdots + |\phi_p'|) \\ &= \sum_{k \leq p} (a_k v_k + b_k w_k) = 2 \sum_{k \leq p} \alpha_k \leq 2\pi p. \end{aligned}$$

For the  $e_n$ 's one observes that  $|T_p| = 1$ , while  $T_p = 1$  on the part of  $[0, 2\pi)$  outside  $(\gamma_p, \gamma_p + \delta_p)$ , so that

$$2\pi |e_n| = \left| \int_{\gamma_p}^{\gamma_p + \delta_p} \{T_p(t) - 1\} e^{-int} dt \right| < 2\delta_p.$$

Hence by (5.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A_r[f] - A_r[F_p]| &= \left| \sum (n|c_n|^2 - n|d_n|^2)r^{|n|} \right| \leq \sum (|n||e_n|^2 + 2|nd_n e_n|)r^{|n|} \\
 &< \left( \frac{\delta_p}{1-r} \right)^2 + 3p \frac{\delta_p}{1-r} < \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.14}$$

provided  $p\delta_p \ll 1 - r$ . For (5.11) with  $\rho = N$  one sums over  $|n| \leq N$  in (5.14) (omitting  $r^{|n|}$ ) and replaces  $1/(1 - r)$  by  $N$ .

### 6. Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.3

The following two constructions and some concluding remarks will establish Theorem 2.3. In both constructions  $f$  will have the form  $f_1 f_2 \cdots$  with factors  $f_k$  as in (5.4). To determine  $f_k$  one need only specify  $\alpha_k$  and  $a_j, b_j$  with  $j \leq k$  and that is what will be done below.

(i) *Oscillation result for  $A_r$ .* For given  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu < 0$ , we obtain  $f$  and a sequence  $r_k \uparrow 1$  such that  $A_{r_k}[f]$  is alternately close to  $\lambda$  and to  $\mu$ .

In the first step, choose  $p_1 = q_1$  and  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{p_1} \leq \pi$  such that  $q_1 \beta_{p_1} = \lambda$ . Then choose  $a_1 = \cdots = a_{p_1}$  as well as  $b_1 = \cdots = b_{p_1} \ll a_{p_1}$  in such a way that  $q_1(a_{p_1} + b_{p_1}) < 2\pi$ . For  $b_{p_1} \ll 1 - r \ll a_{p_1}$ , Proposition 5.1 now shows that  $A_r[f_1 \cdots f_{p_1}]$  is close to  $A[g_1 \cdots g_{p_1}] = q_1 \beta_{p_1} = \lambda$ . This will also be true for  $A_r[f]$ , provided the tail  $T_{p_1}$  in the ultimate product for  $f$  can be neglected. For this we will require that later  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's satisfy the tail condition  $4p_1 \delta_{p_1} \leq b_{p_1}$ , cf. (5.14).

In the second step one takes  $p_2 = p_1 + q_2$ , choosing  $q_2$  and  $\alpha_{p_1+1} = \cdots = \alpha_{p_2} \leq \alpha_{p_1}$  such that  $-q_2 \beta_{p_2} = \mu$ . One next takes  $a_{p_1+1} = \cdots = a_{p_2} \ll b_{p_1+1} = \cdots = b_{p_2} \leq b_{p_1}$ , making sure that  $q_2(a_{p_2} + b_{p_2})$  is small enough. Then the following approximations hold for  $1 - r \ll b_{p_2}$ , and for  $a_{p_2} \ll 1 - r \ll b_{p_2}$ , respectively:

$$A_r[f_1 \cdots f_{p_2}] \approx \sum_{k=1}^{p_2} A_r[f_k] \approx \sum_1^{p_1} A[f_k] + \sum_{p_1+1}^{p_2} A[h_k] = \mu,$$

cf. Propositions 5.1 and 3.1. Thus  $A_r[f] \approx \mu$  under a suitable tail condition on the numbers  $a_k, b_k$  with  $k > p_2$ .

Next, one takes  $p_3 = p_2 + q_3, \alpha_{p_2+1} = \cdots = \alpha_{p_3} \leq \alpha_{p_2}$  such that  $q_3 \beta_{p_3} = \lambda$ . One now obtains a range for  $r$  given by  $a_{p_2+1} = \cdots = a_{p_3} \ll 1 - r \ll b_{p_2+1} = \cdots = b_{p_3} \leq a_{p_2}$  in which  $A_r[f]$  is again close to  $\lambda$ . Etc. In all this one makes sure that  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ .

One thus obtains  $f$  and ranges in which to choose numbers  $r_k$  for which the sequence  $\{A_{r_k}[f]\}$  exhibits the desired oscillatory behavior.

(ii) *Convergence result for  $A_N$ .* We finally show how to construct a defining function  $f$  for which  $A_N[f]$  converges to a prescribed real number  $\lambda$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . For definiteness one supposes  $\lambda > 0$ .

The plan for the construction is as follows. With  $A[g_j] = \beta_j$  as in (5.2), (5.6), one determines numbers  $p_k \geq k + 1$  and  $\alpha_k \leq \pi/2$  such that for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$A[g_k \cdots g_{p_k}] = \sum_{j=k}^{p_k} \beta_j = \lambda, \quad \text{hence} \quad A[g_{k+1} \cdots g_{p_k}] = \lambda - \beta_k. \quad (6.1)$$

One then seeks numbers  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  or  $v_j$  and  $w_j$  such that

$$v_{p_1} \ll w_1 \ll v_{p_2} \ll \cdots \ll v_{p_k} \ll w_k \ll v_{p_{k+1}} \ll \cdots,$$

so that for the corresponding functions  $f_j$ , cf. Propositions 5.1 and 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} A_N[f_1 \cdots f_{p_k}] &\approx \sum_{j=1}^{p_k} A_N[f_j] && \text{for } N \gg v_{p_k}, \\ &\approx \begin{cases} A[g_k \cdots g_{p_k}] & \text{for } v_{p_k} \ll N \ll w_k, \\ A[g_{k+1} \cdots g_{p_k}] & \text{for } w_k \ll N \ll v_{p_{k+1}}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

It turns out that it is convenient to choose  $p_k$ ,  $\alpha_k$  or  $\beta_k$  and  $v_k$  such that

$$p_1 = q \quad \text{with } q \geq 2 \text{ and } \lambda/q \leq (\pi - 2)/4\pi, \quad p_{k+1} = p_k + 2,$$

$$\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_{p_1} = \lambda/q, \quad \beta_{p_k} = \beta_{p_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{p_{k-1}},$$

$$v_1 = \cdots = v_{p_1}, \quad v_{p_k+1} = v_{p_{k+1}}.$$

Then condition (6.1) is satisfied and  $\pi/2 \geq \alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ . Also, to deal with transition values of  $N$  around  $w_k$  and  $v_{p_{k+1}}$  below, one can use the following inequalities:

$$|A_N[f_k]| < \alpha_k^2, \quad |A_N[f_{p_{k+1}} f_{p_{k+1}}]| \approx 2|A_N[f_{p_{k+1}}]| < 2\alpha_{p_{k+1}}^2. \quad (6.3)$$

Starting with  $k = 1$ , one now successively chooses constants  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  or  $v_k$  and  $w_k$  subject to appropriate conditions to ensure that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_N[f_1 \cdots f_{p_k}] - \lambda| &< \beta_k, & |A_N[f] - \lambda| &< 2\beta_k && \text{for } v_{p_k} \ll N \ll w_k, \\ |A_N[f] - (\lambda - \beta_k)| &< 2\beta_k & & & & \text{for } w_k \ll N \ll v_{p_{k+1}}, \\ |A_N[f] - \lambda| &< 2\beta_k + \alpha_k^2 & & & & \text{for } N \text{ around } w_k, \\ |A_N[f] - (\lambda - \beta_k)| &< 2\beta_k + 2\alpha_{p_{k+1}}^2 & & & & \text{for } N \text{ around } v_{p_{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

cf. (6.1)–(6.3) and Propositions 5.1, 5.2.

The step by step construction leads to a function  $f$  which defines a continuous map  $F$  of degree zero such that  $A_N[f]$  converges to the limit  $S[f] = \lambda$ .

Obvious changes would produce a function  $f$  for which  $A_N \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iii) *Concluding remarks.* By well-known results for infinite series, the sums  $A_N$  must oscillate at least as much as the values  $A_r$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &= \sum_1^{\infty} n(|c_n|^2 - |c_{-n}|^2)r^n = \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} (A_n - A_{n-1})r^n = (1-r) \sum_1^{\infty} A_n r^n, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

hence if  $\limsup A_N \leq \omega$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then also  $\limsup A_r \leq \omega$  for  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Thus in part (i),  $A_N$  cannot remain well below  $\lambda$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, it cannot remain well above  $\mu$ .

For a function  $f$  as in part (ii), where  $A_N \rightarrow \lambda$ , also  $A_r \rightarrow \lambda$ ; convergence implies Abel summability to the same sum.

To obtain continuous maps of prescribed degree  $m \neq 0$  with properties as in parts (i) and (ii), one may multiply the above functions  $f(t)$  by  $e^{i(m/2\pi)t}$  and then use suitably adjusted values of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

## References

- [1] A. Boutet de Monvel-Berthier, V. Georgescu and R. Purice. A boundary value problem related to the Ginzburg-Landau model. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **141** (1991), 1–23, MR 93a:82067.
- [2] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg. Degree theory and BMO. I. Compact manifolds without boundaries. *Selecta math. New ser.* **1** (1995), 197–263, MR 96g:58023.
- [3] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg. Degree theory and BMO. II. Compact manifolds with boundaries. *Selecta math. New ser.* **2** (1996), 309–368, MR 98a:58024.
- [4] H. Brézis. Degree theory: old and new. In: *Topological Nonlinear Analysis, II* (Frascati, 1995), *Prog. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, vol. **27**. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 87–108, MR 98d:58016.
- [5] I.M. Gelfand. Conversation with H. Brézis.
- [6] I.C. Gohberg and I.A. Fel'dman. *Convolution equations and projection methods for their solution (Russian)*. Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1971; See also Translation of Math. Monographs, vol. 41, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974., MR 50:8148, 8149.
- [7] I.C. Gohberg and N.Ya. Krupnik. *One-dimensional linear singular integral equations, Vol. II, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 54*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992, MR 93k:47059.

- [8] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg and M.A. Kaashoek. *Classes of linear operators, Vol. II, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 63*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993, MR 95a:47001.
- [9] L. Nirenberg. Degree theory beyond continuous maps. SMC 50 jubilee (Amsterdam, 1996). *CWI Quarterly* **9** (1996), 113–120, MR 97m:58025.
- [10] J.J.O.O. Wiegerinck. Conversation with J. Korevaar.

J. Korevaar  
KdV Mathematical Institute  
University of Amsterdam  
Plantage Muidergracht 24  
NL-1018 TV Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
e-mail: korevaar@wins.uva.nl